

## Module 3: Algorithmic selfassembly

CSE590: Molecular programming and neural computation. Slides in this module are largely due to **Rebecca Schulman** and **Erik Winfree**.

### Cellular automata

A lattice-based model of computation, where the lattice can be 1, 2 or any (finite) number of dimensions.



The model consists of a collection of cells, each in one of a finite number of states.



A cell has a neighborhood -- a finite set of cells that are defined to be "adjacent" to it.

The cells evolve -- at each time step, the cell changes state (or stays the same) based on the states of its neighbors.

## Conway's game of life



A 2d cellular automaton. Every cell interacts with its 8 neighbors. A cell is either live (colored) or dead (blank).

1. A live cell with 0 neighbors or 1 neighbor dies ("underpopulation").



2. A cell with 4,5,6,7 or 8 neighbors dies ("overpopulation").



3. A live cell with 2-3 neighbors lives.



4. A dead cell with exactly 3 neighbors becomes live.



# Inputs and outputs of cellular automata are structures



## Conway's game of life can produce aperiodic patterns

A glider gun

#### And it can do logic



Glider gun logic gates

#### Conway's game of life is Turing complete



Conway's game of life can compute anything that a computer can compute

### Sierpinski's triangle

A 1-dimensional block cellular automaton.



At each time step, the lattice staggers, and neighbors are above and to the left and right of the previous step.





The next state is the **XOR** of the two previous states.

## Sierpinski's triangle



### Sierpinski's triangle

The next state is the **XOR** of the two previous states.

Each state in the fixed lattice requires knowledge of four surrounding states.



Yet even with this simple mechanism, block cellular automata are Turing complete.

### Block cellular automata with tiles

➤ direction of computation



Next we'll introduce the **abstract tile assembly model**, where tiles start from a seed, and attach to a growing block.

Computation occurs by adding tiles, which form rows of cells, but it is not necessary that rows be added one at a time.

Tiles can be added if their strength of attachment is greater than a threshold. In our case the threshold will be 2.

One can show that this processes simulates the execution of a cellular automaton.

### Block cellular automata with tiles



#### Block cellular automata with tiles







DNA tiles are formed from four short, synthetic DNA strands

#### DNA tile assembly



DNA tiles will attach to each other via "sticky" ends that have complementary sequences.

#### DNA tile assembly

Attachment of a block of the CA lattice <-> attachment of a DNA tile to a crystal of DNA tiles.

This should only happen if the sticky ends match, and there are enough sticky ends that this is a favorable reaction.

The result: we can program a set of "tiles", make them out of DNA, then make the assembly we predict into a real object!

#### Simulated assembly of a DNA Sierpinski triangle



•

## A self-assembled DNA object



#### 100 nm (atomic force microscope image)

Kenichi Fujibayashi, Rizal Hariadi, Sung Ha Park, Erik Winfree, Satoshi Murata (Nano Letters, 2008)

### Programming self-assembly

Tile set 2: Binary counter tile set



#### Programming self-assembly



Tile set 3: 59 tile types, 28 bond types

## Unfortunately, DNA sometimes makes mistakes



100 nm An even number of white dots in each triangle!

Kenichi Fujibayashi, Rizal Hariadi, Sung Ha Park, Erik Winfree, Satoshi Murata (Nano Letters, 2008)

## Unfortunately, DNA sometimes makes mistakes

A big challenge in DNA self-assembly is to get DNA to follow instructions:

Error rate in your computer's logic system 1 in 10^23

Error rate in DNA tile assembly 1 in 10^2!

## A single error in a crystal can be disastrous





Robert Barish, Rebecca Schulman, Paul Rothemund, Erik Winfree (PNAS, 2009)

#### 5-bit binary counter



22 tile types, error rate 0.05%

- Optimized growth conditions
- Optimized concentrations
- Optimized labels

Constantin Evans and Erik Winfree, in preparation.

## Current research focuses on how to make assembly accurate

- 1. Designing "robust" tile sets can make it harder for an error to stick.
- 2. Optimizing physical conditions can improve error rates because crystallization happens with fewer defects under some physical conditions than others.
- 3. Combining both these approaches has allowed us to reach an error rate of less than 1 in 10^4. How much lower can we go?

